

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/600,025	LARKIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	David H Kruse	1638	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Amended

 (1) David H Kruse.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) John W. Harbour.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 September 2004
**Time:** PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:

1-19 and 30-46

Prior art documents discussed:

Yoshimatsu et al 1996

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The Examiner indicated that if Applicant agreed to cancel claims 1-19 that new claims 30-46 would be allowable with minor wording changes to correct their form, and suggested amendments to the new claims that could allow a greater scope.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)